SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012_IJBcRR_2850**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Urinary Excretion of Glycosaminoglycans in patients with urolithiasis.**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.
-

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No comments**	
Minor REVISION comments	**No comments**	
Optional/General comments	Author investigated the urinary excretion of GAGs in patients with urolithiasis. His/Her results show that urinary GAGs are low in patients when compared to control subjects. Technically the study seems correct, but scientifically there is no novelty, several similar works have been published in the literature showing similar results and hypothesis (but the author does not cite or discuss them in his/her present manuscript): "Examples of reports showing that urinary GAGs are low in children or adult patients with urolithiasis, and that have proposed that urinary GAGs may play a role in urolithiasis": - Michelacci YM et al. 1989, Urinary excretion of glycosaminoglycan in normal and stone forming subjects. Kidney Int. 36, 1022-8.	All comments rectified

	- Akçay T et al. 1999, Urinary glycosaminoglycan excretion in urolithiasis. Arch Dis Child 80, 271-272. - Erturk E et al. 2002, Clinical association with urinary glycosaminoglycas and urolithiasis. Urology 59, 495-499. - Gohel MD et al. 2007, Electrophoretic separation and characterization of urinary glycosaminoglycans and their roles in urolithiasis. Carbohydrate research 342, 79-86.	